**NPAC: 92** 

## **Minutes of Stoke Golding Parish Council**

## Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee

Minutes of the 92<sup>nd</sup> meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee held at the Methodist Hall on Monday 13<sup>th</sup> September 2021 at 7.00pm.

**Attendance:** Mervyn Ward (MW) chair, Rachel Terheege (RT), Colin Wilkinson (CW consultant), and Robert Crowfoot (RC), Brian Ninness (BN) and one resident.

### 92/1 To receive apologies for absence:

• It was **NOTED** that there were apologies from Ruth Fisher (RF)

## 92/2 To receive declarations of interest on items on the agenda and dispensations:

It was NOTED that all members declared an interest as residents of the village.

# 92/3 To confirm the minutes of the 91st meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan Advisory Committee:

• It was **RESOLVED** that the minutes of the NPAC meetings held on 16<sup>th</sup> August 2021 were unanimously **AGREED** and the Chairman signed the minutes of the 91st meeting as a correct record of the meeting.

# 92/4 To consider any matters arising from previous decisions of the NPAC not covered by the agenda:

It was NOTED that there were none.

### 92/5 To consider procedural matters

• It was **NOTED** that there were none

#### 92/6 To consider the Plan of work for completing the NP process

The following was **RESOLVED**:

 It was NOTED that BN has updated the time line accounting for the expected submission of the examiner's report. As this has come it earlier than expected it may bring the end date forward.

#### 92/7 To consider funding

The following was **RESOLVED**:

It was NOTED that there was nothing

### 92/8 To consider consultant matters

The following was **RESOLVED**:

• It was **NOTED** that there were none

### 92/9 To consider Examination matters

The following was **RESOLVED**:

- It was NOTED CC (examiner) had submitted an initial enquiry (which allowed 2 weeks for return) in response to which MW & CW sent the NPAC responses to the HBBC Regulation 16 consultation points. This was followed by CC sending his report for fact checking. As this was a confidential report it was checked by MW, CW & RT. Two days later CC sent his report which contained 20 recommendations.
- It was NOTED that CC accepted the proposed Housing Number at 158 and gave no weight to the draft Local Plan figure of 200. He also allowed Mulberry Farm to be moved to the NP allocation rather than the reserve nomination.

- It was NOTED that this document and a summary of the changes, prepared by MW & CW, were sent to all Parish Councillors. At an extraordinary PC meeting tonight, it was AGREED to accept the examiner's report and notify HBBC of that decision.
- It was **NOTED** that the Evidence Base has been brought up to date on the web site and the examiner's report should be put on the website for information (not evidence base)
- It was NOTED that HBBC are allowed 5 weeks (unless the PC allows an extension) to give a
  decision statement and move to the referendum stage and then 56 working days to enable a
  referendum.
- It was NOTED that CW has produced a referendum version of the Plan as per recommendations of the examiner and has sent this to HBBC
- It was NOTED that a planning application for Stoke Fields Farm is due to be presented to
  planning committee on 21<sup>st</sup> September. RT is to oppose this application and has asked MW
  and CW to send her any information to help the case.

## 92/10 To consider future communication and consultation with residents

The following was **RESOLVED**:

• It was **NOTED that** MW had submitted an article for the September issue of the Stoker but it was agreed that a further article for the October issue was not necessary

# 92/11 To receive and consider such communications as received by the Chair The following was RESOLVED:

- It was **NOTED** that there was correspondence from:
- 16/8 Mail from J Collett reply on meeting with HBBC on housing targets
- 17/8 Mail from the Examiner with 14 requests for clarification
- 20/8 Locality invitation to their Convention on 16-18 November (Birmingham and online)
- 24/8 HBBC's draft combined response to the Examiner
- 31/8 HBBC confirmation of submission of the responses to the Examiner's clarification questions
- 2/9 Examiner draft report for fact checking
- 2/9 J Collett Stoke Fields application going to Planning on 21/9
- 3/9 HBBC response to fact checking
- 6/9 Examiner final report
- 15/9 The Stoker request for contribution

# 92/12 To consider items needed to be brought to the public's attention The following was RESOLVED:

• It was **NOTED** that there were none

# 92/13 Public participation – to consider any comments or questions by the public on items on the agenda

• It was **NOTED** that there was none

#### 92/14 To consider future agenda items

The following was **RESOLVED**:

• It was **NOTED** that there should be an additional item at No.10 – 'NP approval and referendum'

### 92/15 Date for the next meeting

The following was RESOLVED:

 It was NOTED the date of the next meeting is 7pm, Monday <u>11th</u> October 2021 at the Methodist Hall.

The meeting closed at 8.20pm